Missing probability estimation

Denys Pommeret Aix-Marseille University

#### **ECODEP** Ecology and Dependence Project

Sept. 2024

Granted by the Research Chair ACTIONS under the aegis of BNP Paribas Cardif





1/35

M?s??ng probab?l?ty est??at??n

Den?s Pomm?ret Aix-Mars??lle Univers???

#### ?C?D?P Ec?l?gy and De??dence Pr?j?ct

Sept. 2024

Grant?d by t?? Research Chair ?CT?ONS under the aeg?s of BNP Pa??bas Card?f





Э

1/33

イロン イボン イヨン イヨン

### Illustrative introduction



We observe before and after missing  $\hookrightarrow$  can we use the difference between pdf to estimate missing probability?

### Illustrative introduction



Before missing (black) vs After missing (red)

Can we use the difference between cdf to estimate missing cdf?

#### Illustration

 $\begin{cases} \text{One sample with } Y \text{ completely observed} \\ \text{One sample with } Y \text{ with missing values} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(Y \text{ missing})? \end{cases}$ 

Comparing pdf or cdf seems too complex if the missing mechanism depends on other variables  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$ 

Several questions arise:

- Understanding the missing mechanism (is it due to the unknown value? to other variables?)
- Estimate the missing probability (there is little work, and only in the parametric case)
- Imput missing values (many works, depending on the missing mechanism)

### Notation

We observe continuous random variables X, Y. X and Y can be multidimensional.

(日) (部) (注) (注) (注) ()

7/35

There is a missing mechanism acting on Y.

Write  $C_Y$  the indicator of missing value:

• Y missing 
$$(C_Y = 0)$$

• or Y observed  $(C_Y = 1)$ .

# Mechanism of missing value

Rubin (1976)

- MCAR (Missing Completely At Random)
- MAR (Missing At Random)
- MNAR (Missing Not At Random)

The missing mechanism is not related to X or Y.  $C_Y$  depends neither on X nor Y.

In this case we can remove the missing values.

But there is a loss of information!

The missing mechanism is related to X, but not to Y.  $C_Y$  depends on X (observed), but not on Y.

We can try a regression model to reconstruct Y.

The missing mechanism is related to both X and Y.  $C_Y$  depend on both X (observed) and Y (unobserved).

A major problem, but few solutions.

### Missing probability

X and Y are continuous.

Copula transformation to get uniform distributions:

$$U = F_X(X), \ V = F_Y(Y), \ Z = C_Y V,$$

Z can be observed  $(C_Y = 1)$  or not  $(C_Y = 0)$ .

Y missing  $\Leftrightarrow Z$  missing.

Assumption We know (or we estimate) the cdf of Y.  $\hookrightarrow$  We need to have observed Y otherwise!!

## Strong hypothesis, but realistic...

- ► We need to have information about Y through a survey, another sample, a signal before the loss of data, etc. → We then estimate the cdf of the missing variable Y.
- This is the price we have to pay.
- ▶ We also estimate the cdf of the (fully observed) variable X.

### Missing probability

#### Copula transformation:

$$U = F_X(X), \ V = F_Y(Y), \ Z = C_Y V,$$

Associated missing probability:

$$p(u, v) = \mathbb{P}(C_Y = 1 | U = u, V = v) = \mathbb{E}(C_Y | U = u, V = v),$$

Original data:

$$\mathbb{P}(C_Y = 1 | X = x, Y = y) = p(F_X(x), F_Y(y)).$$

# $L^2([0,1])$ basis

- Why copula transformation?
  - $\hookrightarrow \mathsf{Uniform}\ \mathsf{distributions}$
  - $\hookrightarrow$  We know an orthonormal basis of  $L^2([0,1])$ :  $\{L_k; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  the set of Legendre orthonormal polynomials.
- Why orthonormal polynomials?  $\mathbb{E}((C_Y V)^{\ell}) = \mathbb{E}(C_Y^{\ell} V^{\ell}) = \mathbb{E}(C_Y V^{\ell}) = \mathbb{E}(p(U, V) V^{\ell})$

# CDF estimations



- The empirical cdf of Y is based on an independent sample of size n' such that our sample size n satisfies n/n' → l < ∞.</p>
- The empirical cdf of X is based on our sample (since X is observed).

In the following, we can change a cdf F with its empirical estimator  $\widehat{F}$  without modifying the asymptotic results.

#### MAR case

 ${\cal C}_Y$  depends on the observed variable X, and is independent of Y. We have

$$U = F_X(X).$$

In that case we simply write

$$p(u) = \mathbb{P}(C_Y = 1 | U = u).$$

#### Proposition

For all  $u \in (0,1)$ , we have:

$$p(u) = \mathbb{E}(C_Y) + \sum_{k>0} \left\{ \mathbb{E}(L_k(U)C_Y) \right\} L_k(u)$$
  
$$:= \sum_{k\geq 0} \alpha_k L_k(u).$$

### Approximation & Estimation

Kth order approximation

$$p_K(u) = \sum_{k \le K} \alpha_k L_k(u).$$

Kth order estimation

$$\widehat{p}_K(u) = \sum_{k \le K} \widehat{\alpha}_k L_k(u),$$

where

$$\widehat{\alpha}_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_k(U_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_k(\widehat{F}_X(X_i)).$$

 $\hookrightarrow$  We need to add a constraint to stay in ]0,1[.

#### Choosing the order: Part |

$$\widehat{p}_K(u) = \sum_{k \le K} \widehat{\alpha}_k L_k(u),$$

To choose (automatically) the order K = K(n) we can use the asymptotic normality of the coefficients  $\hat{\alpha}_k$ . A series of embedded test can be deployed.

Choosing the order: Part II

The choice of K(n) can be based on a LASSO technique.

Indeed:

$$p_k(u) = \sum_{k \le K} \alpha_k L_k(u)$$
  
 $\approx \mathbb{E}(C_Y = 1|u)$ 

and the  $\alpha_k$  can be considered as regression coefficients on  $L_1(u), \cdots, L_K(u)$ .

We consider a logit model:

$$\operatorname{logit}(\mathbb{P}(C_Y = 1 | X = x, Y = y))) = ax + by + c_y$$

with a = -1, b = -1, and c = 1.

 $\mathsf{MAR}\;\mathsf{case}\,\hookrightarrow\,b=0$ 

#### Approximation



Figure – True and estimations for K = 1, 2, 4

#### Errors



#### Univariate MNAR case

We first consider one variable Y.  $C_Y$  depends of Y. We have

$$V = F_Y(Y), \ Z = C_Y V,$$

and we simply write

$$p(v) := \mathbb{P}(C_Y = 1 | V = v).$$

#### Proposition

For all  $v \in (0, 1)$ , we have:

$$p(v) = \mathbb{E}(C_Y) + \sum_{k>0} \left\{ \mathbb{E}(L_k(Z)) + L_k(0)\mathbb{E}(C_Y - 1) \right\} L_k(v)$$
$$:= \sum_{k\geq 0} \beta_k L_k(v)$$

# Approximation & Estimation

Kth order approximation

$$p_K(v) = \sum_{k \le K} \beta_k L_k(v).$$

#### Kth order estimation

$$\widehat{p}_K(v) = \sum_{k \le K} \widehat{\beta}_k L_k(v),$$

where

$$\hat{\beta}_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \{L_k(Z_i)\} + L_k(0)(C_{Y_i} - 1)\}.$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Illustration (univariate MNAR case)

We consider a logit model:

$$logit(\mathbb{P}(C_Y = 1 | X = x, Y = y))) = ax + by + c_y$$

with a = -1, b = -1, and c = 1.

Univariate MNAR case  $\hookrightarrow a = 0$ 

### Approximation



Figure – True and estimations for K = 1, 2, 4

#### Errors



# MNAR (general case)

 $C_Y$  depends on both X and Y. We have

$$U = F_X(X), \ V = F_Y(Y), \ Z = C_Y V,$$

Proposition For all  $(u, v) \in [0, 1]^2$ , we have:  $p(u, v) = \mathbb{E}(C_Y) + \sum_{(k,\ell) \neq (0,0)} \mathbb{E} \{ L_k(U)(L_\ell(Z) + L_\ell(0)(C_Y - 1)) \} L_k(u) L_\ell(v).$ 

### Approximation & Estimation

To simplify we define the Kth order approximation as:

$$p_K(u) = \sum_{k \le K; \ell \le K} \widehat{\alpha}_{k,\ell} L_k(u) L_\ell(v),$$

and its associated estimator

$$\widehat{p}_K(u) = \sum_{k \le K; \ell \le K} \widehat{\alpha}_{k,\ell} L_k(u) L_\ell(v).$$

<□>

30/35

### MISE

We consider the MISE (Mean Integrated Square Error) criterion to evaluate the behavior of the estimators:

$$MISE(\widehat{p}_K) := \mathbb{E}(\|p - \widehat{p}_K\|^2),$$

where

$$||p||^2 := \int_{[0,1]^2} p(u,v)^2 du dv.$$

#### Corollary

Let  $K=K(n)=o(n^{1/4}),$  such that  $K(n)\rightarrow\infty$  as n tends to infinity. Then

 $MISE(\hat{p}_{K(n)}) \to 0$ , as n tends to infinity.

#### Illustration

$$\mathbb{P}(C_Y = 1 | X = x, Y = y)) = \frac{|a * x + b * y|}{|a * x + b * y| + c}$$

with 
$$a=1,\;b=-1,$$
 and  $c=1.$ 

We fix x or y to represents a plot of probabilities.

#### Estimation



Figure – True and estimations for  $K = 0, 2, 3 \iff 3 \iff 3$ 

### Conclusion

In conclusion, this approach can be used to understand the underlying non-response mechanism when the variable of interest has been observed (independently) elsewhere. This non-response can also be seen as presence or absence, life or death, and ultimately as censorship. For example, in ecology, if we observe organisms that have survived a certain environment, or species that have migrated. We can estimate the probability of migration, or death, as a function of individual characteristics.

### Perspective: multiple imputation

We want to apply a model to (X, Y).

Given v (that is, X), we use the probabilities of  $\hat{p}_K(u, v)$  to run M simulations and apply M models to obtain M intermediate results, which we combine to obtain a final result.